

Department of Health and Human Services
Public Health Service
Food and Drug Administration
Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research
Division of Manufacturing and Product Quality

MEMORANDUM

Recommendation to Waive Pre-Approval Inspection

Date: February 17, 2011
From: Lori Peters, Consumer Safety Officer, OCBQ/DMPQ/MRB1
To: BLA File – STN 125384/0
Subject: Recommendation to waive the Biologics License Application pre-approval inspection for the manufacture of the albumin paste intermediate -----(b)(4)-----, which is used in the manufacture of KEDBUMIN, a 25% Human Albumin Solution, at Kedrion S.p.A.
Through: Carolyn Renshaw, Branch Chief, OCBQ/DMPQ/MRB1
Cc: Crystal Allard, RPM, OBRR

Concurrent Clearance Routing

_____ John A. Eltermann, Jr., R.Ph., M.S. Director, Division of Manufacturing and Product Quality Office of Compliance and Biologics Quality Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research	_____ Date _____ DO NOT CONCUR	_____ CONCUR _____ DO NOT CONCUR
---	---	---

_____ Basil Golding, Ph.D. Director, Division of Hematology Office of Blood Research and Review Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research	_____ Date _____ DO NOT CONCUR	_____ CONCUR _____ DO NOT CONCUR
--	---	---

CONCUR

DO NOT CONCUR

Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research

- -----(b)(4)-----

- -----(b)(4)-----
- -----(b)(4)-----

Basis for the Waiver:

This waiver is based on criteria outlined in the Centerwide SOPP 8410 “Determining When Pre-Licensing/Pre-Approval Inspections (PLI/PAI) are necessary.” As stated in the aforementioned SOPP, it is CBER’s policy that a pre-license or pre-approval inspection will generally be necessary for a BLA if any of the following criteria **in bold** are met:

The facility does not hold an active US license.

---(b)(4)--- currently holds CBER license (b)(4).

The facility has not been inspected in the last two years by the FDA.

--(b)(4)-- was inspected by the Agency less than two years ago. -----
 -----(b)(4)----- was most recently inspected -----(b)(4)-----, for
 the manufacture of -----(b)(4)----- . The following provides a 2-year
 inspectional history of ---(b)(4)---; decision outcomes are all either Voluntary Action
 Indicated, or No Action Indicated (note that within this time period the subject
 firm/facilities were never assigned the status of Official Action Indicated):

----- (b)(4) -----			
▪ -----(b)(4)-----	(b)(4)	(b)(4)	---(b)(4)---
▪ -----(b)(4)-----	(b)(4)	(b)(4)	---(b)(4)---
▪ -----(b)(4)-----	(b)(4)	---(b)(4)---	---(b)(4)---

The establishment is performing significant manufacturing step(s) in new (unlicensed) areas using different equipment (representing a process change). This would include areas that are currently dedicated areas that have not been approved as multi-product facilities/buildings/areas.

The manufacturing process of the albumin paste intermediate remains unchanged and manufacturing is not occurring in an unlicensed area of the -----(b)(4)-----.

The previous inspection revealed significant GMP deficiencies in areas related to the processes in the application/supplement (similar processes) or systemic

problems, such as QC/QA oversight.

The last inspection, conducted -----(b)(4)-----, was classified as Voluntary Action Indicated (VAI). No significant GMP deficiencies were found.

The manufacturing process is sufficiently different (new production methods), specialized equipment or facilities) from that of other approved products produced by the establishment.

The manufacturing process for albumin paste intermediate remains unchanged in this BLA.

Waiver Recommendation:

Based on the information provided in the BLA submission and the previous inspection reports supporting the overall compliance status of the license holder, the review committee recommends waiving the pre-approval inspection for the --(b)(4)-- facility associated with this BLA.